



**CALL-IN REQUEST FORM**

<b>Decision reference/minute no.</b>	LOCAL PLAN ISSUES AND STRATEGIC OPTIONS - CONSULTATION FEEDBACK ref: 1130
<b>Date of publication of decision:</b>	20/07/2022
<b>Decision taken by:</b>	Cabinet
<b>This form must be returned to the Chief Executive within 7 working days of the decision being published with at least 5 signatures</b>	
<b>Decision called-in:</b>	
That:	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The feedback on the Newcastle under Lyme Local Plan Issues &amp; Strategic Options consultation be noted; and</li> <li>2. The feedback received from the Economy and Place Scrutiny Committee be noted</li> </ol>	
<b>A call-in should satisfy one or more of the following criteria.</b>	
<b>Which of the following criteria supports the call-in of this decision? (please tick)</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	The decision may be contrary to the budget or policy framework set by the Council and the Monitoring Officer has advised accordingly
<input type="checkbox"/>	The decision is inconsistent with another Council policy
<input type="checkbox"/>	The decision is inconsistent with a previous Overview and Scrutiny recommendation, which has been accepted by the Council or the Cabinet
<input type="checkbox"/>	The decision maker has not taken into account relevant considerations and this can be demonstrated by reference to the documents supporting the decision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The decision maker has failed to consult relevant people or bodies in contravention of defined Council policies or procedures
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The decision has or will demonstrate a significant adverse public reaction
<input type="checkbox"/>	The decision gives rise to significant legal, financial or propriety issues

**Please explain how the relevant criteria above are met by this call-in:**

Numerous residents have raised concerns over the consultation for the local plan, these include:

1. Late adoption of paper-based submissions, resulting in a reduced window of opportunity for residents who struggle with access, or literacy with online methods.
2. Lack of in-person consultation events in certain ward areas (Bradwell) despite requests from local councillors. Limiting residents' ability to access information or clarifications on the plan.

Both reasons above support the argument that the consultation has failed to reach certain groups of residents who lack appropriate IT facilities, or literacy to engage with online consultation processes. This group of residents are often isolated, and struggle to travel to other in person events.

We believe that the consultation conducted has prejudiced against this group of residents, therefore is contradictory to council policy in ensuring all residents have viable opportunity to access and engage with consultation. We would argue that this has contributed to the significantly low (289 people) response to the consultation.

**Suggested proposal you would like to be voted on at the call-in meeting  
(this should be an evidence-based proposal and you should provide evidence to support the proposal)**

Re-opening of the consultation period, with an accompanying programme of in-person consultation events across those areas of the borough previously excluded; including the ability for residents to respond to the consultation via paper-based responses at the events.

**Members requesting call-in of the decision:**

	<b>Name</b>	<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
1.	Cllr Dave Jones	Please see email to Chief Exec	21/7/22
2.	Cllr Sue Moffat	Please see email to Chief Exec	21/7/22
3.	Cllr Andrew Fox-Hewitt	Please see email to Chief Exec	21/7/22
4.	Cllr Richard Gorton	Please see email to Chief Exec	21/7/22
5.	Cllr Steph Talbot	Please see email to Chief Exec	21/7/22
6.	Cllr Joel Edgington-Plunket	Please see email to Chief Exec	21/7/22

**THIS PART OF THE FORM IS TO BE COMPLETED BY THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OR HIS/HER REPRESENTATIVE**

<b>Date and time form received:</b>	
<b>Form processed by (name):</b>	

<b>Date of publication of decision:</b>	
<b>Was the call-in request received within 7 working days of publication?</b>	<b>YES/NO</b> <b>If no reject and inform relevant parties</b>
<b>Are there at least 5 appropriate Members' signatures on the call-in notice?</b>	<b>YES/NO</b> <b>If no reject and inform relevant parties</b>
<b>Which Overview and Scrutiny Committee will this call-in be referred to?</b>	

<b>Signature of Chair / Vice-Chair of relevant Overview and Scrutiny Committee</b>		<b>Date:</b>
--	--	--------------

The appropriate decision making body, Members requesting call-in, the Monitoring Officer, the Licensing and Democratic Services Manager and the Scrutiny Officer need to be informed of receipt of call-in form.